

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/775,999	02/02/2001	Paul Stiros	8412	7441	
27752	7590 05/12/2006	05/12/2006		EXAMINER	
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY			CHORBAJI, MONZER R		
	JAL PROPERTY DIVISI LL TECHNICAL CENTE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			1744		
CINCINNATI, OH 45224		DATE MAILED: 05/12/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			l . ,		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/775,999	STIROS ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		MONZER R. CHORBAJI	1744		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMPAISS OF THE MAILING THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ap	<u>pril 2006</u> .			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 22-41 is/are pending in the application	n. _,			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>22-41</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 June 2004</u> is/are: a))⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '		
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).		
۵,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		tion No.		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior				
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.		
Attachmen	nt(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)		
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I	Patent Application (PTO-152)		
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/775,999 Page 2

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

This non-final action is in response to the RCE/Amendment received on 04/11/2006 Specification

1. The substitute specification filed on 06/01/2004 has not been entered because it does not conform to 37 CFR 1.125(b) and (c) because a substitute specification needs to have the following: a clean copy, a marked-up copy and a statement indicating that no new matter has been entered into the instant specification. See MPEP 608.01 (q).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 35-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aibe et al (U.S.P.N. 5,288,306) in view of Arnold, III (U.S.P.N. 4,995,556) and further in view of Greif (U.S.P.N. 4,813,344).

Regarding claim 35, the Aibe reference discloses a method for deodorizing air in a confined place (col.1, lines 6-21) including the following: providing a passive filter member (figure 1:6) that is capable of removing malodor from air without the assistance of an air moving member (in col.18, lines 52-56, the Aibe reference teaches that the air deodorizing apparatus may not include a fan when the gas can flow into the apparatus. Looking at figure 17, gas to be treated is capable of flowing into gas inlet means 122. This teaching is not limited to one embodiment only since the Aibe reference teaches that any deodorizing apparatus of the reference may not include a fan), it includes a first filter element (figure 17: 127), the first filter element contains a first filter medium that includes iodine supporting activated carbon honeycomb (col.17, lines 17-18), providing a forced air filter member (figure 23: 194) having an air flow path from an air inlet to an air outlet (figure 23: 192 and 193), the forced air filter member has a second filter element (figure 23: 196) and an air moving member (figure 23: 198) having a housing (figure 23:191), the second filter element includes a second filter medium, which contains an acid-supporting activated carbon honeycomb (col.21, lines 53-55), the air

Page 4

moving member is capable of moving air along the air flow path and through the second filter medium (col.21, lines 46-49), the detachable passive filter member is interchangeable with the second filter element in the forced air filter member such that both filters are detachable from the air moving member (in col.5, lines 16-18, the Aibe reference teaches that one or multiple activated carbon honeycombs can be used in the deodorizing device thereby the honeycombs can be placed either in devices without fan or in devices with fan as taught in col.18, lines 52-55 and col.11, lines 61-64), positioning the passive filter member inside a confined space (col.18, lines 52-55 and col.2, lines 50-51), positioning the forced air filter member inside the confined space (figure 23: the unlabeled apparatus in placed in refrigerator as taught in col.2, lines 50-51), neutralizing odor in the air of the confined space by allowing air to come into proximity with the first filter member (col.18, lines 52-55 and col.2, lines 50-51). neutralizing odor in the air of the confined space by drawing air toward the acidsupporting activated carbon honeycomb in the second filter member (figure 23: the unlabeled apparatus in placed in refrigerator as taught in col.2, lines 50-51) and the passive filter member is interchangeable with the second filter element in the forced air filter member (in col.5, lines 16-18, the Aibe reference teaches that one or multiple activated carbon honeycombs can be used in the deodorizing device thereby the honeycombs can be placed either in devices without fan or in devices with fan as taught in col.18, lines 52-55 and col.11, lines 61-64). Furthermore, interchanging the passive filter member in place of the second filter element in the forced air filter member feature does not further limit the scope of the claims. It is construed as intended use. However,

the Aibe reference fails to teach the following: using sodium bicarbonate, the combined use of passive filter and forced air filter and the second filter element being positioned on an upper portion of the housing, which has a complimentary surface topography with interfacing parts between its surface and the surface of the second filter element. The Arnold reference, which is in the art of deodorizing air in refrigerators, teaches placing passive filter member that includes sodium bicarbonate in a refrigerator (col.1, lines 7-10 and col.2, lines 3-4). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of the Aibe reference by substituting one known deodorizer such as sodium bicarbonate as taught by the Arnold reference for another known deodorizers such as iodine or bromine or platinum as taught by the Aibe reference since the mechanism for odor elimination of sodium bicarbonate relies largely on the adsorption of odors from the atmosphere by the compound (col.1, lines 54-58).

Regarding claim 35, the Arnold reference fails to teach combining the use of passive filter and forced air filter. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of the Aibe reference by utilizing the teachings of the Arnold reference to the Aibe reference in order to maximize the rate of deodorization of air inside refrigerators by combining passive and active deodorizers.

Regarding claim 35, both the Aibe reference and the Arnold reference fails to teach that the second filter element being positioned on an upper portion of the housing, which has a complimentary surface topography with interfacing parts between its

surface and the surface of the second filter element. The Greif reference teaches placing a deodorant container on the exterior surface of an air-moving member (col.3, lines 10-18) such that the interfacing surfaces of both the outer surface of deodorizer (figure 2:26) and the exterior surface of the air-moving member (figure 2:22) have complimentary surface topography with each other. Thus, It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of the Aibe reference by placing filter deodorizing members onto the exterior surface of the air moving member as taught by the Greif reference so that the filter deodorizer can be attached at any location where air is moving (Greif reference, col.3, lines 15-18 and col.4, lines 54-62).

With respect to claims 36-37, the Aibe reference uses passive filter members and second filter members that are of the same shape and size (figure 1:6 and 7).

With respect to claims 38-39, the Aibe reference teaches that the confined space is inside a refrigerator (col.2, lines 50-51) and that a refrigerator intrinsically includes compartments separate from the remainder of the confined space. Thus, in order to deodorize air in a refrigerator, inserting the device in the compartments or in the main section of the refrigerator is an intrinsic step in achieving such a goal.

6. Claims 22-26, 28-31, 33 and 40-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aibe et al (U.S.P.N. 5,288,306) in view of Arnold, III (U.S.P.N. 4,995,556).

Regarding claim 40, the Aibe reference discloses a system for deodorizing air in a confined place (col.1, lines 6-21) including a passive filter member (figure 1:6) that is

capable of removing malodor from air without the assistance of an air moving member (in col.18, lines 52-56, the Aibe reference teaches that the air deodorizing apparatus may not include a fan when the gas can flow into the apparatus. Looking at figure 17. gas to be treated is capable of flowing into gas inlet means 122. This teaching is not limited to one embodiment only since the Aibe reference teaches that any deodorizing apparatus of the reference may not include a fan), includes a first filter element (figure 17: 127). The Aibe reference teaches the following: the first filter element contains a first filter medium that includes iodine supporting activated carbon honeycomb (col.17, lines 17-18), a forced air filter member (figure 23: 194) having an air flow path from an air inlet to an air outlet (figure 23: 192 and 193), the forced air filter member has a second filter element (figure 23: 196) and an air moving member (figure 23: 198), the second filter element includes a second filter medium, which contains an acid-supporting activated carbon honeycomb (col.21, lines 53-55), the air moving member has a housing (figure 23:191) and is capable of moving air along the air flow path and through the second filter medium (col.21, lines 46-49), the second filter element is capable of being positioned on an upper exterior portion of the housing (figure 23:191) such that the interfacing surfaces of the upper exterior surface of the housing (unlabeled round upper exterior surface of the housing 191 in figure 23 and the unlabeled exterior round surfaces of the filters 196) and the second filters have complimentary surface topography, the passive filter member is interchangeable with the second filter element in the forced air filter member (in col.5, lines 16-18, the Aibe reference teaches that one or multiple activated carbon honeycombs can be used in the deodorizing device thereby

the honeycombs can be placed either in devices without fan or in devices with fan as taught in col.18, lines 52-55 and col.11, lines 61-64) and the second filter element is detachable from the air moving member (figure 23, 196 and 198). The second filter element (figure 23:196) is capable of sitting on the exterior surface of the housing (figure 23:191) in operation of the forced air filter member. In lines 13-14, applicant recites the feature "to sit on the exterior portion of the housing". This feature is construed as intended use and does not limit the scope of claim 40. However, the Aibe reference fails to teach the use of sodium bicarbonate. The Arnold reference, which is in the art of deodorizing air in refrigerators, teaches placing passive filter member that includes sodium bicarbonate in a refrigerator (col.1, lines 7-10 and col.2, lines 3-4). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of the Aibe reference by substituting one known deodorizer such as sodium bicarbonate as taught by the Arnold reference for another known deodorizers such as iodine or bromine or platinum as taught by the Aibe reference since the mechanism for odor elimination of sodium bicarbonate relies largely on the adsorption of odors from the atmosphere by the compound (col.1, lines 54-58).

With respect to claim 41, the Aibe reference discloses an apparatus for deodorizing air (col.1, lines 6-21) that includes the following: a forced air filter member (figure 23: 194) having an air flow path from an air inlet to an air outlet (figure 23: 192 and 193), the forced air filter member has a filter element (figure 23: 196) and an air moving member (figure 23: 198) has a housing (figure 23:191) and is capable of moving air along the air flow path and through the second filter medium (col.21, lines 46-49), the

filter element includes a filter medium, which contains an acid-supporting activated carbon honeycomb (col.21, lines 53-55), the air moving member has a housing (figure 23:191), the filter element is detachable from the air moving member (figure 23, 196) and 198) and the second filter element is capable of being positioned on an upper exterior portion of the housing (figure 23:191) such that the interfacing surfaces of the upper exterior surface of the housing (unlabeled round upper exterior surface of the housing 191 in figure 23 and the unlabeled exterior round surfaces of the filters 196) and the second filters have complimentary surface topography. The filter element (figure 23:196) is capable of sitting on the exterior surface of the housing (figure 23:191) in operation of the forced air filter member. However, the Aibe reference fails to teach the use of sodium bicarbonate. The Arnold reference, which is in the art of deodorizing air in refrigerators, teaches placing passive filter member that includes sodium bicarbonate in a refrigerator (col.1, lines 7-10 and col.2, lines 3-4). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of the Aibe reference by substituting one known deodorizer such as sodium bicarbonate as taught by the Arnold reference for another known deodorizers such as iodine or bromine or platinum as taught by the Aibe reference since the mechanism for odor elimination of sodium bicarbonate relies largely on the adsorption of odors from the atmosphere by the compound (col.1, lines 54-58).

With respect to claims 22-23, the Aibe reference uses passive filter members and second filter members that are of the same shape and size (figure 1:6 and 7).

With respect to claims 24-26, the Aibe reference teaches the following: the filter member (figure 12: 82) includes a cartridge (figure 13: 86) which has a top portion and a bottom portion (figure 12, such parts of 86 are not labeled), also the cartridge has air inlets in its top (figure 12, top portion of 86 is not labeled) and air outlets on its bottom (figure 12, bottom portion of 86 is not labeled), the air moving member (figure 12, the lower part of 82 which includes fan 90) has a top portion (serves as a base for the filter member) with an air inlet therein (figure 12, top portion of the lower part of 82 on which 89 lies directly above), the cartridge (figure 13: 86) sits on the top portion of the air moving member such that the air outlets on the bottom of the cartridge partially in alignment with the air inlet on the air moving member, the air moving member includes a fan (figure 12: 90) and the second filter element is capable of being positioned on an upper exterior portion of the housing (figure 23:191) such that the interfacing surfaces of the upper exterior surface of the housing (unlabeled round upper exterior surface of the housing 191 in figure 23 and the unlabeled exterior round surfaces of the filters 196) and the second filters have complimentary surface topography.

With respect to claims 28-29, the Aibe reference fails to teach the use of sodium bicarbonate as a deodorizing agent placed in an air pervious container or a bag; however, the Arnold reference teaches placing sodium bicarbonate in an air pervious bag (figure 6: 36) such that at least two sides are air pervious material (col.3, lines 49-55). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of the Aibe reference by including baking

soda in an air pervious bag as taught by the Arnold reference so that allowing air to enter but preventing sodium bicarbonate from escaping (col.3, lines 54-55).

With respect to claim 30, the Aibe reference discloses the use of activated carbon as part of the first filter medium and the second filter medium (col.9, lines 64-67).

With respect to claim 31, the Aibe reference teaches the following: the air moving member (figure 12, the lower part of 82 which includes fan 90) has a top portion (serves as a base for the filter member) that is exposed to the outside environment either by having outside air run across it or when removing the filter member with an air inlet therein (figure 12, top portion of the lower part of 82 on which 89 lies directly above), the cartridge (figure 31: 86) sits on the top portion of the air moving member such that the air outlets on the bottom of the cartridge partially in alignment with the air inlet on the air moving member, the air moving member includes a fan (figure 12: 90). Furthermore, the filter member is intrinsically held in place by the gravitational forces (suction of the fan) and the surface topology of the interfacing parts of the filter member and the air-moving member. In addition, the Aibe reference teaches that the location of the fan, the cartridge, the inlets, and the outlets can be varied (col.18, lines 58-68 col.19, lines 1-6).

With respect to claim 33, the Aibe reference discloses a filter member (figure 23: 196) that is lifted upward from the air-moving member (figure 23: 194) for replacement (col.11, lines 63-65).

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aibe et 7. al (U.S.P.N. 5,288,306) in view of Arnold, III (U.S.P.N. 4,995,556) as applied to claim 40 and further in view of Peludat (U.S.P.N. 5,624,311).

The Aibe reference and the Arnold reference fail to teach the combination of sodium bicarbonate filter with a fan; however, the Peludat reference, which is in the art of air treatment, teaches using an air treating component (figure 3:18) that includes baking soda (col.3, lines 55-57) in combination with a fan (figure 2: 18 and 22). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of the Aibe reference by including baking soda as an air deodorizer as taught by the Peludat reference since baking soda is known as an air deodorizer (col.3, lines 57-60).

8. Claims 32 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aibe et al (U.S.P.N. 5,288,306) in view of Arnold, III (U.S.P.N. 4,995,556) as applied to claim 31 and further in view of Ganz (U.S.P.N. 2,025,657).

With respect to claims 32 and 34, the Aibe reference and the Arnold reference fail to teach the concept of having complementary hemispherical interfacing parts between the filter member and the air-moving member and the use of a scent-emitting member. The Ganz reference discloses an emitting member (sachet container) including a scent (i.e., fragrance, col.1, lines 47-49) to be emitted into the atmosphere and also discloses a hemispherical filter member (figure 1:10 and 12) for deodorizing air (col.1, lines 5-6). Thus, It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of the Aibe reference to

Application/Control Number: 09/775,999 Page 13

Art Unit: 1744

include a spherical filter member as taught by the Ganz reference since such a shape has an attractive appearance (col.1, lines 16-18).

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22-41 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- **10.** The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Lin (U.S.P.N. 5,603,455) reference teaches placing deodorizes on top of air moving members.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MONZER R. CHORBAJI whose telephone number is (571) 272-1271. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.
- **12.** If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, GLADYS J. CORCORAN can be reached on (571) 272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/775,999 Page 14

Art Unit: 1744

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Monzer R. Chorbaji

AU 1744 05/10/2006

> GLADYS JF CORCORAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER